

# Seccomp

Michael Kerrisk, man7.org © 2023

February 2023

mtk@man7.org

## Outline

Rev: # e2bf8f005a44

20	<a href="#">Seccomp</a>	20-1
20.1	Introduction	20-3
20.2	History	20-5
20.3	Seccomp filtering and BPF	20-11
20.4	The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	20-18
20.5	BPF filter return values	20-30
20.6	BPF programs	20-38
20.7	Checking the architecture	20-53
20.8	Productivity aids ( <i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	20-62
20.9	Applications and further information	20-73

## Outline

---

20	Seccomp	20-1
20.1	Introduction	20-3
20.2	History	20-5
20.3	Seccomp filtering and BPF	20-11
20.4	The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	20-18
20.5	BPF filter return values	20-30
20.6	BPF programs	20-38
20.7	Checking the architecture	20-53
20.8	Productivity aids ( <i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	20-62
20.9	Applications and further information	20-73

## What is seccomp?

---

- Kernel provides large number of system calls
  - $\approx 400$  system calls
- Each system call is a vector for attack against kernel
- Most programs use only small subset of available system calls
  - Remaining systems calls should never legitimately occur
  - If they do occur, perhaps it is because program has been compromised
- Seccomp (“**secure computing**”) = mechanism to restrict system calls that a process may make
  - Reduces attack surface of kernel
  - A key component for building application sandboxes

## Outline

---

20	Seccomp	20-1
20.1	Introduction	20-3
20.2	History	20-5
20.3	Seccomp filtering and BPF	20-11
20.4	The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	20-18
20.5	BPF filter return values	20-30
20.6	BPF programs	20-38
20.7	Checking the architecture	20-53
20.8	Productivity aids ( <i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	20-62
20.9	Applications and further information	20-73

## History

---

- First version in Linux 2.6.12 (2005)
  - Filtering enabled via `/proc/PID/seccomp`
    - Writing “1” to file places process (irreversibly) in “strict” seccomp mode
  - Need `CONFIG_SECCOMP`
- **Strict mode:** only permitted system calls are `read()`, `write()`, `_exit()`, and `sigreturn()`
  - Note: `open()` not included (must open files before entering strict mode)
  - `sigreturn()` allows for signal handlers
- Other system calls  $\Rightarrow$  thread is killed with `SIGKILL`
- Designed to sandbox compute-bound programs that deal with untrusted byte code
  - Code perhaps exchanged via pre-created pipe or socket

## History

---

Linux 2.6.23 (2007):

- `/proc/PID/seccomp` interface replaced by `prctl()` operations
- `prctl(PR_SET_SECCOMP, arg)` modifies caller's seccomp mode
  - `SECCOMP_MODE_STRICT`: limit syscalls as before
- `prctl(PR_GET_SECCOMP)` returns seccomp mode:
  - 0  $\Rightarrow$  process is not in seccomp mode
  - Otherwise?
  - `SIGKILL (!)`
    - `prctl()` is not a permitted system call in "strict" mode
    - Who says kernel developers don't have a sense of humor?

## History

---

- Linux 3.5 (July 2012) adds "filter" mode (AKA "seccomp2")
  - `prctl(PR_SET_SECCOMP, SECCOMP_MODE_FILTER, ...)`
  - Can control which system calls are permitted to calling **thread**
    - Control based on system call number and argument values
  - Choice is controlled by user-defined filter—a BPF "program"
    - Berkeley Packet Filter (later)
  - Requires `CONFIG_SECCOMP_FILTER`
  - By now used in a range of tools
    - E.g., Chrome, Firefox, OpenSSH, `vsftpd`, `systemd`, Docker, LXC, Flatpak, Firejail, `strace`

## History

---

- Linux 3.8 (2013):
  - The joke is getting old...
  - New `/proc/PID/status` `Seccomp` field exposes process seccomp mode (as a number)

```
0 // SECCOMP_MODE_DISABLED
1 // SECCOMP_MODE_STRICT
2 // SECCOMP_MODE_FILTER
```

- Process can, without fear, read from this file to discover its own seccomp mode
  - But, must have previously obtained a file descriptor...

## History

---

- Linux 3.17 (2014):
  - `seccomp()` system call added
    - (Rather than further multiplexing of `prctl()`)
  - `seccomp(2)` provides superset of `prctl(2)` functionality
    - Can synchronize all threads to same filter tree
    - Useful, e.g., if some threads created by start-up code before application has a chance to install filter(s)
- Linux 4.14 (2017):
  - Audit logging of seccomp actions
  - Interfaces to discover what seccomp features are supported by kernel
  - Wider range of “actions” can be returned by BPF filters
- Linux 5.0 (March 2019):
  - New action: notification to user-space process

## Outline

---

20	Seccomp	20-1
20.1	Introduction	20-3
20.2	History	20-5
20.3	Seccomp filtering and BPF	20-11
20.4	The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	20-18
20.5	BPF filter return values	20-30
20.6	BPF programs	20-38
20.7	Checking the architecture	20-53
20.8	Productivity aids ( <i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	20-62
20.9	Applications and further information	20-73

## Seccomp filtering

---

- Allows filtering based on system call number and argument (register) values
  - Pointers can **not** be dereferenced
    - Because of time-of-check, time-of-use race conditions  
*Seccomp and deep argument inspection*  
<https://lwn.net/Articles/822256/>, June 2020
    - Landlock LSM, added in Linux 5.13 (2021), addresses this restriction(?)

## Seccomp filtering overview

---

- Steps:
  - 1 Construct filter program that specifies permitted system calls
  - 2 Process installs filter for itself using `seccomp()` or `prctl()`
  - 3 Process executes code that should be filtered:
    - `exec()` new program, or
    - invoke function in dynamically loaded library (plug-in)
- Once installed, **every syscall made by process triggers execution of filter**
- Installed filters **can't** be removed
  - Filter == declaration that we don't trust subsequently executed code

## BPF byte code

---

- Seccomp filters are expressed as BPF (Berkeley Packet Filter) programs
- BPF is a **byte code which is interpreted by a virtual machine (VM) implemented inside kernel**

## BPF origins

---

- BPF originally devised (in 1992) for *tcpdump*
  - Monitoring tool to display packets passing over network
  - <http://www.tcpdump.org/papers/bpf-usenix93.pdf>
- Volume of network traffic is enormous  $\Rightarrow$  must filter for packets of interest
- BPF allows **in-kernel selection of packets**
  - Filtering based on fields in packet header
- Filtering in kernel more efficient than filtering in user space
  - Unwanted packets are **discarded early**
  - **Avoid expense of passing every** packet over kernel-user-space boundary
- 😊 Seccomp  $\Rightarrow$  generalize BPF model to filter on syscall info

## Generalizing BPF

---

- BPF originally designed to work with network packet headers
- Seccomp2 developers realized BPF could be generalized to solve different problem: filtering of system calls
  - Same basic task: test-and-branch processing based on content of a small set of memory locations



# BPF virtual machine

---

- BPF defines a **virtual machine** (VM) that can be implemented inside kernel
- VM characteristics:
  - **Simple instruction set**
    - Small set of instructions
    - All instructions are same size (64 bits)
    - Implementation is simple and fast
  - Only **branch-forward** instructions
    - Programs are directed acyclic graphs (DAGs)
  - Kernel can verify validity/safety of programs
    - Program completion is guaranteed (DAGs)
    - Simple instruction set  $\Rightarrow$  can verify opcodes and arguments
    - Can detect dead code
    - Can verify that program completes via a “return” instruction
    - BPF filter programs are limited to 4096 instructions

## Outline

---

20	Seccomp	20-1
20.1	Introduction	20-3
20.2	History	20-5
20.3	Seccomp filtering and BPF	20-11
20.4	The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	20-18
20.5	BPF filter return values	20-30
20.6	BPF programs	20-38
20.7	Checking the architecture	20-53
20.8	Productivity aids ( <i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	20-62
20.9	Applications and further information	20-73

## Key features of BPF virtual machine

---

- Accumulator register (32-bit)
- Data area (data to be operated on)
  - In seccomp context: data area describes system call
- All instructions are 64 bits, with a fixed format
  - Expressed as a C structure:

```
struct sock_filter {
    __u16 code;    /* Filter code (opcode)*/
    __u8  jt;     /* Jump true */
    __u8  jf;     /* Jump false */
    __u32 k;      /* Multiuse field (operand) */
};
```

- See `<linux/filter.h>` and `<linux/bpf_common.h>`
- **No state is preserved** between BPF program invocations
  - E.g., can't intercept *n*'th syscall of a particular type

## BPF instruction set

---

Instruction set includes:

- Load instructions (`BPF_LD`)
- Store instructions (`BPF_ST`)
  - There is a “working memory” area where info can be stored (not persistent)
- Jump instructions (`BPF_JMP`)
- Arithmetic/logic instructions (`BPF_ALU`)
  - `BPF_ADD`, `BPF_SUB`, `BPF_MUL`, `BPF_DIV`, `BPF_MOD`, `BPF_NEG`
  - `BPF_OR`, `BPF_AND`, `BPF_XOR`, `BPF_LSH`, `BPF_RSH`
- Return instructions (`BPF_RET`)
  - Terminate filter processing
  - Report a status telling kernel what to do with syscall

## BPF jump instructions

---

- Conditional and unconditional jump instructions provided
- Conditional jump instructions consist of
  - **Opcode** specifying condition to be tested
  - **Value** to test against
  - **Two** jump targets
    - *jt*: target if condition is true
    - *jf*: target if condition is false
- Conditional jump instructions:
  - `BPF_JEQ`: jump if equal
  - `BPF_JGT`: jump if greater
  - `BPF_JGE`: jump if greater or equal
  - `BPF_JSET`: bit-wise AND + jump if nonzero result
  - *jf* target ⇒ no need for `BPF_{JNE,JLT,JLE,JCLEAR}`

## BPF jump instructions

---

- Targets are expressed as relative offsets in instruction list
  - 0 == no jump (execute next instruction)
  - *jt* and *jf* are 8 bits  $\Rightarrow$  255 maximum offset for conditional jumps
- Unconditional `BPF_JA` (“jump always”) uses *k* as offset, allowing much larger jumps

## Seccomp BPF data area

---

- Seccomp provides data describing syscall to filter program
  - Buffer is **read-only**
    - I.e., seccomp filter can't change syscall or syscall arguments
- Can be expressed as a C structure...

## Seccomp BPF data area

```
struct seccomp_data {
    int nr; /* System call number */
    __u32 arch; /* AUDIT_ARCH_* value */
    __u64 instruction_pointer; /* CPU IP */
    __u64 args[6]; /* System call arguments */
};
```

- *nr*: system call number (architecture-dependent); 4-byte *int*
- *arch*: identifies architecture
  - Constants defined in `<linux/audit.h>`
    - `AUDIT_ARCH_X86_64`, `AUDIT_ARCH_ARM`, etc.
- *instruction\_pointer*: CPU instruction pointer
- *args*: system call arguments
  - System calls have maximum of six arguments
  - Number of elements used depends on system call

## Building BPF instructions

- One could code BPF instructions numerically by hand...
- But, header files define symbolic constants and convenience macros (`BPF_STMT()`, `BPF_JUMP()`) to ease the task

```
#define BPF_STMT(code, k) \
    { (unsigned short)(code), 0, 0, k }
#define BPF_JUMP(code, k, jt, jf) \
    { (unsigned short)(code), jt, jf, k }
```

- These macros just plug values together to form *sock\_filter* structure initializer

```
struct sock_filter {
    __u16 code; /* Filter code (opcode)*/
    __u8 jt; /* Jump true */
    __u8 jf; /* Jump false */
    __u32 k; /* Multiuse field (operand) */
};
```

## Building BPF instructions: examples

---

- Load architecture number into accumulator

```
BPF_STMT(BPF_LD | BPF_W | BPF_ABS,  
         (offsetof(struct seccomp_data, arch)))
```

- Opcode here is constructed by ORing three values together:
  - **BPF\_LD**: load
  - **BPF\_W**: operand size is a word (4 bytes)
  - **BPF\_ABS**: address mode specifying that source of load is data area (containing system call data)
  - See `<linux/bpf_common.h>` for definitions of opcode constants
- Operand is *architecture* field of data area
  - `offsetof()` yields byte offset of a field in a structure

## Building BPF instructions: examples

---

- Test value in accumulator

```
BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JEQ | BPF_K, AUDIT_ARCH_X86_64, 1, 0)
```

- **BPF\_JMP | BPF\_JEQ**: jump with test on equality
- **BPF\_K**: value to test against is in generic multiuse field (*k*)
- *k* contains value `AUDIT_ARCH_X86_64`
- *jt* value is 1, meaning skip one instruction if test is true
- *jf* value is 0, meaning skip zero instructions if test is false
  - I.e., continue execution at following instruction

## Building BPF instructions: examples

---

- Return a value that causes kernel to kill process

```
BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_KILL_PROCESS)
```

- Arithmetic/logic instruction: add one to accumulator

```
BPF_STMT(BPF_ALU | BPF_ADD | BPF_K, 1)
```

- Arithmetic/logic instruction: right shift accumulator 12 bits

```
BPF_STMT(BPF_ALU | BPF_RSH | BPF_K, 12)
```

## Outline

---

20	Seccomp	20-1
20.1	Introduction	20-3
20.2	History	20-5
20.3	Seccomp filtering and BPF	20-11
20.4	The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	20-18
20.5	BPF filter return values	20-30
20.6	BPF programs	20-38
20.7	Checking the architecture	20-53
20.8	Productivity aids ( <i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	20-62
20.9	Applications and further information	20-73

## Filter return value

---

- Once filter is installed, every syscall is tested against filter
- Seccomp filter must return a value to kernel indicating whether syscall is permitted
  - Otherwise `EINVAL` when attempting to install filter
- Return value is 32 bits, in two parts:
  - Most significant 16 bits specify an action to kernel
    - `SECCOMP_RET_ACTION_FULL` mask
  - Least significant 16 bits specify “data” for return value
    - `SECCOMP_RET_DATA` mask

```
#define SECCOMP_RET_ACTION_FULL 0xfff0000U
#define SECCOMP_RET_DATA      0x0000fffU
```



## Filter return action (1)

---

Filter return action component is one of:

- `SECCOMP_RET_ALLOW`: system call is allowed to execute
- `SECCOMP_RET_KILL_PROCESS` (since Linux 4.14, 2017): process (all threads) is immediately killed
  - Terminated *as though* process had been killed with `SIGSYS`
    - There is no actual `SIGSYS` signal delivered, but...
    - To parent (via `wait()`) it appears child was killed by `SIGSYS`
  - Core dump is also produced
- `SECCOMP_RET_KILL_THREAD` (`== SECCOMP_RET_KILL`): thread (i.e., task, not process) is immediately killed
  - Terminated *as though* thread had been killed with `SIGSYS`
  - If this is the only thread in process, a core dump is also produced
  - `SECCOMP_RET_KILL_THREAD` alias was added in Linux 4.14

## Filter return action (2)

---

- `SECCOMP_RET_ERRNO`: return an error from system call
  - System call is not executed
  - Value in `SECCOMP_RET_DATA` is returned in `errno`
- `SECCOMP_RET_TRACE`: attempt to notify `ptrace()` tracer before making syscall
  - Gives tracing process a chance to assume control
    - If there is no tracer, syscall fails with `ENOSYS` error
  - `strace(1)` uses this to speed tracing (since 2018)
  - See `seccomp(2)`
- `SECCOMP_RET_TRAP`: calling thread is sent `SIGSYS` signal
  - Can catch this signal; see `seccomp(2)` for more details
  - Example: `seccomp/seccomp_trap_sigsys.c`

## Filter return action (3)

---

- `SECCOMP_RET_LOG` (since Linux 4.14): allow + log syscall
  - System call is allowed, and also logged to audit log
    - `/var/log/audit/audit.log`; [\*ausearch\(8\)\*](#)
  - Useful during filter development (later...)



## Filter return action (4)

---

- `SECCOMP_RET_USER_NOTIF` (since Linux 5.0, 2019): send notification to user-space “supervisor” process
  - See [\*seccomp\(2\)\*](#), [\*seccomp\\_unotify\(2\)\*](#), and `seccomp/seccomp_unotify_mkdir.c`, `seccomp/seccomp_unotify_openat.c`
  - Added for some container use cases, but other uses are possible

## Filter return action (5)

---

- **SECCOMP\_RET\_USER\_NOTIF** (continued):
  - System call is **not** (yet) executed
  - Notified process (the “supervisor”):
    - Receives syscall info (same as BPF filter) + PID of filtered process (the “target”)
    - Can use received info to (for example) inspect arguments of target’s syscall (e.g., via `/proc/PID/mem`)
    - Can perform operation on behalf of “target” (i.e., target’s syscall is not executed)
    - Sends response containing (fake) success/error return value for target’s syscall
    - Can instead send “continue” response telling kernel to let syscall proceed
  -   can **not** safely be used to implement security policy
    - E.g., attacker could manipulate target’s memory after supervisor says “continue”

## Outline

---

20	Seccomp	20-1
20.1	Introduction	20-3
20.2	History	20-5
20.3	Seccomp filtering and BPF	20-11
20.4	The BPF virtual machine and BPF instructions	20-18
20.5	BPF filter return values	20-30
20.6	BPF programs	20-38
20.7	Checking the architecture	20-53
20.8	Productivity aids ( <i>libseccomp</i> and other tools)	20-62
20.9	Applications and further information	20-73

## Installing a BPF program

---

- A process installs a filter for itself using one of:
  - `seccomp(SECCOMP_SET_MODE_FILTER, flags, &fprog)`
    - Only since Linux 3.17
  - `prctl(PR_SET_SECCOMP, SECCOMP_MODE_FILTER, &fprog)`
- *&fprog* is a pointer to a BPF program:

```
struct sock_fprog {
    unsigned short len;           /* Number of instructions */
    struct sock_filter *filter;  /* Pointer to program
                                (array of instructions) */
};
```

## Installing a BPF program

To install a filter, one of the following must be true:

- Caller is privileged (has `CAP_SYS_ADMIN` in its user namespace)
- Caller has to set the `no_new_privs` process attribute:

```
prctl(PR_SET_NO_NEW_PRIVS, 1, 0, 0, 0);
```

- Causes set-UID/set-GID bit / file capabilities to be ignored on subsequent `execve()` calls
  - Once set, `no_new_privs` can't be unset
  - Per-thread attribute
- Prevents possibility of attacker starting privileged program and manipulating it to misbehave using a seccomp filter
- `! no_new_privs && ! CAP_SYS_ADMIN ⇒ seccomp()/prctl(PR_SET_SECCOMP)` fails with `EACCES`

## Example: `seccomp/seccomp_deny_open.c`

```
1 int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
2     prctl(PR_SET_NO_NEW_PRIVS, 1, 0, 0, 0);
3
4     install_filter();
5
6     open("/tmp/a", O_RDONLY);
7
8     printf("We shouldn't see this message\n");
9     exit(EXIT_SUCCESS);
10 }
```


Program installs a filter that prevents `open()` and `openat()` being called, and then calls `open()`

- Set `no_new_privs` bit
- Install seccomp filter
- Call `open()`

## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_deny\_open.c

```
1 static void install_filter(void) {
2     struct sock_filter filter[] = {
3
4         /* Architecture-check code not shown */
5
6         BPF_STMT(BPF_LD | BPF_W | BPF_ABS,
7                 (offsetof(struct seccomp_data, nr))),
8         ...

```

- BPF filter program consists of a series of *sock\_filter* structs
- For now we ignore some BPF code that checks the architecture that BPF program is executing on
  -  **This is an essential part of every BPF filter program**
- Load system call number into accumulator
- (BPF program continues on next slide)

## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_deny\_open.c

```
1 #ifdef __NR_open /* Not all architectures have open() */
2     BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JEQ | BPF_K, __NR_open, 2, 0),
3 #endif
4     BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JEQ | BPF_K, __NR_openat, 1, 0),
5     BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_ALLOW),
6     BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_KILL_PROCESS)
7 };

```

- Test if system call number matches `__NR_open`
  - True: advance 2 instructions  $\Rightarrow$  kill process
  - False: advance 0 instructions  $\Rightarrow$  next test
  - (*open()* is absent on some architectures, because it can be implemented using *openat()*)
- Test if system call number matches `__NR_openat`
  - True: advance 1 instruction  $\Rightarrow$  kill process
  - False: advance 0 instructions  $\Rightarrow$  allow syscall
- (Note: *creat()* + *open\_by\_handle\_at()* are still not filtered)

## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_deny\_open.c

```
1 struct sock_fprog prog = {
2     .len = sizeof(filter) / sizeof(filter[0]),
3     .filter = filter,
4 };
5
6 seccomp(SECCOMP_SET_MODE_FILTER, 0, &prog);
7 }
```

- Construct argument for *seccomp()*
- Install filter

## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_deny\_open.c

Upon running the program, we see:

```
$ ./seccomp_deny_open
Bad system call # Message printed by shell
$ echo $? # Display exit status of last command
159
```

- “Bad system call” was printed by shell, because it looks like its child was killed by **SIGSYS**
- Exit status of 159 ( $== 128 + 31$ ) also indicates termination as though killed by **SIGSYS**
  - Exit status of process killed by signal is  $128 + \text{signal}$
  - **SIGSYS** is signal number 31 on this architecture

## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_control\_open.c

- A more sophisticated example
- Filter based on *flags* argument of *open()* / *openat()*
  - *O\_CREAT* specified  $\Rightarrow$  kill process
  - *O\_WRONLY* or *O\_RDWR* specified  $\Rightarrow$  cause call to fail with *ENOTSUP* error
- *flags* is arg. 2 of *open()*, and arg. 3 of *openat()*:

```
int open(const char *pathname, int flags, ...);
int openat(int dirfd, const char *pathname, int flags, ...);
```

- *flags* serves exactly the same purpose for both calls

## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_control\_open.c

```
struct sock_filter filter[] = {
    /* Architecture-check code not shown */

    BPF_STMT(BPF_LD | BPF_W | BPF_ABS,
             (offsetof(struct seccomp_data, nr))),
    ...
#ifdef __NR_open    /* Not all architectures have open() */
    /* Is this an open() syscall? */
    BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JEQ | BPF_K, __NR_open, 0, 2),
    BPF_STMT(BPF_LD | BPF_W | BPF_ABS,
             (offsetof(struct seccomp_data, args[1])),
    BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JA, 3, 0, 0),
#endif
};
```

- Load system call number
- For *open()*, load *flags* argument (*args[1]*) into accumulator, and then skip to *flags* processing
  - (Some architectures don't have *open()*)



## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_control\_open.c

```
/* Is this an openat() syscall? */
BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JEQ | BPF_K, __NR_openat, 1, 0),

BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_ALLOW),

BPF_STMT(BPF_LD | BPF_W | BPF_ABS,
          (offsetof(struct seccomp_data, args[2]))),
```

- For `openat()`, load `flags` argument (`args[2]`) into accumulator and continue to `flags` processing
- Allow all other system calls

## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_control\_open.c

```
BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JSET | BPF_K, O_CREAT, 0, 1),
BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_KILL_PROCESS),

BPF_JUMP(BPF_JMP | BPF_JSET | BPF_K, O_WRONLY | O_RDWR, 0, 1),
BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_ERRNO | ENOTSUP),

BPF_STMT(BPF_RET | BPF_K, SECCOMP_RET_ALLOW)
};
```

Process `flags` value:

- Test if `O_CREAT` bit is set in `flags`
  - True: skip 0 instructions ⇒ kill process
  - False: skip 1 instruction
- Test if `O_WRONLY` or `O_RDWR` is set in `flags`
  - True: cause call to fail with `ENOTSUP` error in `errno`
  - False: allow call to proceed

## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_control\_open.c

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    prctl(PR_SET_NO_NEW_PRIVS, 1, 0, 0, 0);
    install_filter();

    if (open("/tmp/a", O_RDONLY) == -1)
        perror("open1");
    if (open("/tmp/a", O_WRONLY) == -1)
        perror("open2");
    if (open("/tmp/a", O_RDWR) == -1)
        perror("open3");
    if (open("/tmp/a", O_CREAT | O_RDWR, 0600) == -1)
        perror("open4");

    exit(EXIT_SUCCESS);
}
```

- Test `open()` calls with various flags

## Example: seccomp/seccomp\_control\_open.c

```
$ touch /tmp/a
$ ./seccomp_control_open
open2: Operation not supported
open3: Operation not supported
Bad system call
$ echo $?
159
```

- First `open()` succeeded
- Second and third `open()` calls failed
  - Kernel produced `ENOTSUP` error for call
- Fourth `open()` call caused process to be killed
  - (159 == 128 + 31; `SIGSYS` is signal 31)